UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,168	04/02/2004	Tsutomu Nakada	NAKADA11	7874
	7590 12/17/200 D NEIMARK, P.L.L.C	EXAMINER		
624 NINTH STREET, NW			WONG, EDNA	
	SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/816,168	NAKADA ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview cultimary	Examiner	Art Unit
	EDNA WONG	1795
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>EDNA WONG</u> .	(3)	
(2) Randy for Sheridan Neimark.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 December 2008</u>	Time: <u>8:58 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate ☐ Applicate ☐ Applicate ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Confirmed abandonment.	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Edna Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)